# Effect of tyrosine kinase inhibitor and conventional chemotherapy on COVID-19 antibody level in hematological patients

Ahmet Kaya<sup>1</sup>, Dİlhami Berber<sup>1</sup>, Dİrfan Kuku<sup>1</sup>, Mehmet Ali Erkurt<sup>1</sup>, Emin Kaya<sup>1</sup>,
Soykan Biçim<sup>1</sup>, Emine Hidayet<sup>1</sup>, Salih Cırık<sup>1</sup>, Süleyman Arslan<sup>1</sup>,
Fatma Hilal Yağın<sup>2</sup>, Ahmet Sarıcı<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine,, Turgut Özal Medical Center, İnönü University, Malatya, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, Faculty of Medicine, İnönü University, Malatya, Turkey

**Cite this article:** Kaya A, Berber İ, Kuku İ, et al. Effect of tyrosine kinase inhibitor and conventional chemotherapy on COVID-19 antibody level in hematological patients. *J Curr Hematol Oncol Res.* 2023; 1(1): 1-4.

Corresponding Author: İlhami Berber, ilhami.berber@inonu.edu.tr

Submit Date: 20/12/2022 Accept Date: 24/02/2023

## ABSTRACT

**Aims**: In this study, we aim to discover if there is a difference between COVID-19 antibody level in hematological patients taking conventional chemotherapy and tyrosin kinase inhibitors.

**Methods**: COVID-19 IgG levels were measured using the QuantiCOR anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA test kit on 74 patients who received chemotherapy and used tyrosine kinase inhibitors in the adult hematology clinic of Turgut Özal Medical Center between May 2019 and January 2022. Age, height, weight, badimeks index of the patients were measured, the doses and durations of vaccine use, the time between the first vaccine and the second vaccine, how long after the first vaccine antibodies were checked, and vaccine-related side effects were recorded. Collected data statistical analysis was performed using Python 3.9 and IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 26.0 (New York; USA).

**Results:** Antibody levels of the patients were significantly higher in the healthy control group than in the groups that received chemotherapy and tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Antibody levels of female patients in the control group were higher than male patients. Antibody levels of the patient groups receiving chemotherapy and tyrosine kinase inhibitor were not found to differ between the two groups. When the patients receiving B lymphocyte suppressing chemotherapy in the chemotherapy group were compared with the control group, antibody levels were found to be higher in the control group.

**Conclusion:** COVID-19 vaccination in hematological cancers did not produce adequate antibody response, especially in patients receiving chemotherapy or tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

Keywords: COVID-19 vaccine, tyrosine kinase inhibitor, chemotherapy, anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG

## **INTRODUCTION**

In February 2020, the World Health Organization designated the virus that caused the epidemic as the disease COVID-19.<sup>1</sup> During the pandemic providing medical care for patients with cancer or suspected cancer, managing the risks of death from cancer against serious complications arising from it has been very difficult given the possible higher lethality of COVID-19 in immunocompromised cancer patients.<sup>2</sup> In order to control the current pandemic, vaccination studies have been started in many centers.

Surface spike protein is the antigenic target for COVID-19 vaccines. Binds to host cells and induces membrane fusion.<sup>3-7</sup> It is recommended that all individuals with cancer be uptodate on their vaccination to prevent COVID-19 Infection. Patients with cancer may have attenuated response to vaccines, but vaccination is recommended in populations with cancer.<sup>8</sup> In patients with cancer, the COVID-19 vaccine

reduces the risk of infection and can be administered safely.<sup>9-11</sup> However, studies also show that vaccine efficacy is reduced in those with active cancer compared to those without cancer, particularly those with hematological malignancies, and those receiving anti-CD20 antibody therapy in particular.<sup>12</sup> Immunogenicity studies also show reduced immune response in cancer patients, particularly those with hematological malignancies.<sup>12</sup> Cancer patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy should receive the third dose at least 28 days later. The third dose has been shown to be effective against the Omicron variety in cancer patients receiving treatment, but the response is poor in hematological cancers.<sup>13,14</sup> Current data support booster vaccination in cancer patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy.<sup>15</sup> The most current approach is to vaccinate between treatment regimens.16-18



The aim of this study is to examine the effects of the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) and conventional chemotherapy (CT) on the levels of COVID-19 antibodies in patients diagnosed with hematological cancer.

#### METHODS

COVID-19 IgG levels were measured using the QuantiCOR anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA test kit on 74 patients who received chemotherapy and used TKIs in the adult hematology clinic of Turgut Özal Medical Center between May 2019 and January 2022. Age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI) of the patients were measured, the doses and durations of vaccine use, the time between the first vaccine and the second vaccine, how long after the first vaccine antibodies were checked, and vaccine-related side effects were recorded. Collected data Statistical analysis was performed using Python 3.9 and IBM SPSS statistics for Windows version 26.0 (New York; USA). This study was approved by Inönü University Clinical Research Ethics Committee 2021/151 protocol code. All ethical procedures and standards were carried out in accordance with the 1975 Helsinki Declaration.

#### **Antibody Determination**

Specific IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 were measured in human sera by a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (QuantiCOR anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA test kit, Y Immunotek A.Ş., Malatya, Türkiye). This test kit was independently tested and approved by the Ministry of Health of Türkiye, General Directorate of Public Health, Department of Microbiology Reference Laboratories and Biological Products (MRLBP) by applying the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. MRLBP is the single official authority for the endorsement of all Covid-19 test materials before commercialization. Data was presented as relative unit per milliliters (RU/mL) and the cut-off value for positive sera was 10 RU/mL.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Qualitative data were summarized by number and percentage, and quantitative data by median and interquartile range. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to examine the difference between groups. Since the multivariate analysis assumptions could not be provided (Multivariate normal distribution and homogeneity of variances assumptions) for the antibody level, two-way PERMANOVA (Permutational Analysis of Variance) analysis was performed using the Bray-Curtis distance (Permutation N=9999) as the similarity matrix to examine the difference between the groups and the interaction effect. p<0.05 was considered significant. Analyzes were performed using Python 3.9 and IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 26.0

## RESULTS

Data of 74 patients, 27 (36.5%) female and 47 (63.5%) male, were used in the study. Descriptive statistics data regarding the demographic information of the patients are presented in **Table 1**. There was a significant difference between the groups in terms of antibody level. Antibody levels of the patients were significantly higher in the control group than in the patient groups receiving CT and TKI in **Table 2**. In the research data, a statistically significant difference was found in terms of antibody levels in male and female healthy control groups (p1=0.04). There was a statistically significant difference between the patient groups (TKI-CT-Control) in terms of antibody levels (p2<0.001). While there was a statistically significant difference in antibody level between TKI-Control (p3=0.001) and CT-Control (p3<0.001) groups, there was no statistically significant difference between TKI and CT (p3=0.12) groups. According to the data obtained in the study, the interaction effect (Gender \* Group) was statistically significant (p=0.035). As a result, in addition to affecting the antibody levels of the patients separately according to gender and groups, the gender-group interaction was found to be statistically significant especially for the antibody level.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics				
Variable **	Group *			n value
	СТ	TKI	Control	p value
Age	70 <sup>a</sup> (18)	53 <sup>b</sup> (20.25)	35c (8.5)	< 0.001
Height (cm)	170ª (17.5)	170ª (8.5)	$168^{a}(11.5)$	0.66
Weight (kg)	76 <sup>a</sup> (16)	81ª (14.5)	73 <sup>a</sup> (24.5)	0.11
BMI (kg/m2)	26.28ª (5.685)	28.415ª (3.55)	$26^{a}(4.4)$	0.13
*: There is a statistically significant difference in group categories that do not contain the same letter **: Variables are summarized as median (interquartile range)' BML body mass index				

Table 2. Group comparison results					
Variable**		n value			
variable -	СТ	TKI	Control	p value	
Antibody level	$1.67^{a}$ (10.405)	7.105 <sup>a</sup> (14.588)	54 <sup>b</sup> (150.75)	< 0.001	
How many days between the first vaccination and the 2 <sup>nd</sup>	28 <sup>a</sup> (0)	28ª (3)	28 <sup>a</sup> (0)	0.98	
How many days after the 2 <sup>nd</sup> vaccine, antibodies were tested	$90^{a}$ (60)	90ª (15)	172.5ª (356.25)	0.44	
*: There is a statistically significant difference in group categories that do not contain the same letter. **: Variables are summarized as 'median (interquartile range)'.					

The antibody levels of the female patients in the control group were found to be higher than the antibody levels. male patients and other groups of the study (**Table 3**). The antibody level in the control group was statistically significantly superior than in the patient group receiving R-CHOP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone) - R-BENDA (rituximab, bendamustine) chemotherapy. There was no statistical difference when the patient group receiving R-CHOP - R-BENDA conventional chemotherapy was compared among themselves. (**Table 4**). Post vaccination joint pain in 4 patients, skin allergy in 1 patient, dizziness in 1 patient, tachycardia in 1 patient were observed as vaccine-related side effects (**Table 5**).

Table 3. Two-way PERMANOVA results for antibody level				
Groups	Median	Sex Main Effect	Sex Main Group Main Effect Effect	
-	(IQR)	p1 Value	p2 Value	-
Antibody level- TKI-Female	26.4 (48.55)			
Antibody level- TKI-Male	4.42 (10.78)		p2<0.001 TKI-CT p3=0.12 TKI-Control	
Antibody level- CT-Female	1.67 (13.55)	$p_{1-0.04}$		2
Antibody level- CT-Male	1.48 (7.48)	p1=0.04	p3=0.001 CT-Control	p=0.033
Antibody level- Control-Female	78 (184.62)		p3<0.001	
Antibody level- Control-Male	53.3 (142)			
CT: chemotherapy, TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor. IQR: interquartile range, pl Value; significance test result between women and men, p2 value; intergroup PERMANOVA significance test result, p3: the results of the in-group comparison significance test., Interaction:				

#### Table 4. Comparison results between control, R-chop and R-benda

	Group		
	R-CHOP and R-BENDA	CONTROL	p value
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	
Antibody level	1 (2.64)	54 (162.4)	< 0.001
Number of days between the first and the second vaccine	28 (0)	28 (0)	0.62
How many days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> vaccine, antibody tested	90 (60)	165 (360)	0.42
IQR: interquartile range, R-CHOP; Rituksimab-siklofosfamid-doksorubisin-vinkristin- prednizon, R-BENDA; Bendamustine +Rituximab			

Table 5. Descriptive statistics of patients related to Covid-19 and vaccine for groups					
		Group			
Variable	Category	СТ	TKI	Control	
		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Has he/she	e had Covid-19 illness?				
	No	21 (77.78)	22 (91.67)	6 (26.09)	
	Yes	6 (22.22)	2 (8.33)	17 (73.91)	
Vaccine					
	2 doses of sinovac	12 (60.00)	24 (100.00)	6 (27.27)	
	2 doses of biontech	2 (10.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (9.09)	
	3 doses of sinovac	2 (10.00)	0 (0.00)	1 (4.55)	
	3 doses of sinovac + 1 dose of biontech	1 (5.00)	0 (0.00)	1 (4.55)	
	2 doses of sinovac + 1 biontech	1 (5.00)	0 (0.00)	5 (22.73)	
	2 doses of sinovac + 2 biontech	2 (10.00)	0 (0.00)	5 (22.73)	
	3 doses of biontech	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (9.09)	
Post-vaccine side effect?					
	No	24 (88.89)	21 (87.50)	16 (69.57)	
	Yes	3 (11.11)	3 (12.50)	7 (30.43)	

Table 6. Chemotherapy received by patients receiving chemotherapy (CT)			
Variable	n (%)		
Brentiksumab	2 (7.4)		
Desitabine	1 (3.7)		
DRC	1 (3.7)		
DRD	1 (3.7)		
Ixazomibe + Lenalidomide	1 (3.7)		
Mini CHOP	1 (3.7)		
R-BENDA	4 (14.81)		
R-CHOP	6 (22.22)		
Lenalidomide	6 (22.21)		
VCD	2 (7.4)		
Azasitidine	2 (7.4)		
DRC; Cyclophosphamide - Dexamethasone - Rituximab, DRD; Daratumumab, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone , R-CHOP; Rituksimab-siklofosfamid-doksorubisin-vinkristin-prednizon, R-BENDA; Bendamustine +Rituximab, VCD; cyclophosphamide+ bortezomib+ dexamethasone			

Table 7. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors used by patients (TKI)			
Variable	n (%)		
Bosutinib	2 (8.32)		
Dasatinib	4 (16.67)		
Imatinib	14 (58.33)		
Nilotinib	4 (16.67)		

## DISCUSSION

During the pandemic, viral antibody level has an important place in isolating the population. There are many questions clinicians need to answer regarding COVID-19 diagnostic testing.<sup>19</sup> Since COVID-19 is fatal in cancer patients, prophylaxis for the disease is needed. In the study of Thakkar A et al.<sup>20</sup> a high antibody response rate (94%) was observed in 200 patients treated for cancer

in New York and immunized with vaccines that act on COVID-19 surface protein. Solid tumors (98%), patients with hematological cancer (85%), especially patients who received CD 20 monoclonal antibodies with high immunosuppressive properties, had a lower rate of antibody responses (70, 73%). High antibody response was seen after vaccination in patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors (97%) or patients receiving hormonal therapy.

Patients with COVID-19 infection had higher seroconversion titers after vaccination. Relatively lower IgG titers were seen after vaccination with vaccines developed against the surface protein than with mRNA based vaccines.<sup>20</sup> In this study, hematological malignancies were compared and the antibody level of the patients who received TKI and CT was found to be lower than the control group. This decrease was found to be statistically significant. However, in the study, no significant difference was found between the patients who received TKI and those who received CT in terms of antibody levels. (CT-Control p3<0.001, TKI-Control p3<0.001, TKI-CT p3<0.12). In particular, female patients in the Control group had higher antibody levels compared to male patients and other groups of the study (p1<0.04). In the study of Ollila TA et al.<sup>21</sup> 160 patients with cancer were examined for response to COVID-19 vaccines. In the study, 105 (66%) patients received B-cell-reducing monoclonal antibodies, most commonly.

Patients with active disease have a higher antibody response than patients in remission or waiting without any cancer treatment. The time from the last chemotherapy administration to vaccination was associated with increased antibody response rates. While 69% of patients who completed their chemotherapy more than 12 months ago had an antibody response, this rate was found to be 24% in those who were vaccinated within 12 months. It has been observed that the antibody response to the COVID-19 vaccine is lower in patients using B cell destroying antibodies.<sup>21</sup> In the study, ten patients who received CT used B cell reducing monoclonal antibody. When the patient group receiving R-CHOP -R-BENDA conventional chemotherapy was compared among themselves, no statistical difference was observed, but when compared with the control group, the antibody level was found to be significantly higher in the control group. In the study of Mair MJ et al.<sup>12</sup> after the first vaccination, anti-S antibody levels were found to be lower in patients with hematological cancer who received B cell targeting agents than those who received other treatments. After the first vaccination, anti S levels were found to differ according to the ongoing antineoplastic treatment modalities. Antibody levels after full immunization have been found to be higher in healthcare workers than in patients with cancer or in patients continuing treatment in combination with immunotherapy. In the study, the antibody response of the patients who received TKI and conventional chemotherapy was found to be statistically significantly lower than the healthy control group. (CT-Control p3<0.001, TKI-Control p3<0.001, TKI-CT p3<0.12).

## CONCLUSION

COVID-19 vaccination in hematological cancers does not produce adequate antibody response, especially in patients receiving CT or TKIs. However, vaccination is recommended in immunocompromised patients.

## ETHICAL DECLARATIONS

**Ethics Committee Approval:** The study was carried out with the permission of Malatya Clinical Researches Ethics Committee (Decision No: 2021/151).

**Informed Consent:** All patients signed the free and informed consent form.

Referee Evaluation Process: Externally peer-reviewed.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Financial Disclosure:** This study was partly supported by İnönü University BAP (project # TSG-2020-2190).

Author Contributions: All of the authors declare that they have all participated in the design, execution, and analysis of the paper, and that they have approved the final version.

## REFERENCES

- Madariaga A, McMullen M, Sheikh S, et al. COVID-19 Testing in Patients with Cancer: Does One Size Fit All?. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2020;26(18):4737-4742. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-2224
- Martin M, Guerrero-Zotano A, Montero Á, et al. GEICAM Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Breast Cancer During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Spain. *Oncologist.* 2020;25(9):e1339-e1345. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2020-0363
- World Health Organization. Draft landscape of COVID-19 candidate vaccines. Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/ draft-landscape-of-covid-19-candidate-vaccines (Accessed on October 20, 2020).
- Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, et al. Addendum: A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature*. 2020;588(7836):E6. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2951-z
- 5. Krammer F. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in development. Nature. 2020;586(7830):516-527. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2798-3
- Ohmit SE, Victor JC, Rotthoff JR, et al. Prevention of antigenically drifted influenza by inactivated and live attenuated vaccines. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(24):2513-2522. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa061850
- Graepel KW, Kochhar S, Clayton EW, Edwards KE. Balancing Expediency and Scientific Rigor in Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Vaccine Development. J Infect Dis. 2020;222(2):180-182. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa234
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Updated healthcare infection prevention and control recommendations in response to COVID-19 vaccination. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/ coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/infection-control-after-vaccination.html (Accessed on May 05, 2021).
- 9. Mark C, Gupta S, Punnett A, et al. Safety of administration of BNT162b2 mRNA (Pfizer-BioNTech) COVID-19 vaccine in youths and young adults with a history of acute lymphoblastic leukemia and allergy to PEG-asparaginase. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2021;68(11):e29295. doi:10.1002/pbc.29295
- Shulman RM, Weinberg DS, Ross EA, et al. Adverse Events Reported by Patients With Cancer After Administration of a 2-Dose mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2022;20(2):160-166. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2021.7113
- Wu JT, La J, Branch-Elliman W, et al. Association of COVID-19 Vaccination With SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Patients With Cancer: A US Nationwide Veterans Affairs Study. JAMA Oncol. 2022;8(2):281-286. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2021.5771
- Mair MJ, Berger JM, Berghoff AS, et al. Humoral Immune Response in Hematooncological Patients and Health Care Workers Who Received SARS-CoV-2 Vaccinations. *JAMA Oncol.* 2022;8(1):106-113. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2021.5437
- Fendler A, Shepherd STC, Au L, et al. Omicron neutralising antibodies after third COVID-19 vaccine dose in patients with cancer. *Lancet*. 2022;399(10328):905-907. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00147-7
- Lim SH, Stuart B, Joseph-Pietras D, et al. Immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 variants after two and three doses of vaccine in B-cell malignancies: UK PROSECO study. *Nat Cancer.* 2022;3(5):552-564. doi:10.1038/s43018-022-00364-3
- Rottenberg Y, Grinshpun A, Ben-Dov IZ, Oiknine Djian E, Wolf DG, Kadouri L. Assessment of Response to a Third Dose of the SARS-CoV-2 BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine in Patients With Solid Tumors Undergoing Active Treatment. JAMA Oncol. 2022;8(2):300-301. doi:10.1001/ jamaoncol.2021.6764

- NCCN: Cancer and COVID-19 Vaccination. Available online: https://www.nccn.org/docs/default-source/covid-19/2021\_covid-19\_ vaccination\_guidance\_v4-0.pdf?sfvrsn=b483da2b\_66 (Accessed on December 01, 2021).
- 17. American College of Rheumatology. COVID-19 Vaccine Clinical Guidance Summary for Patients with Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Diseases. https://www.rheumatology.org/Portals/0/Files/COVID-19-Vaccine-Clinical-Guidance-Rheumatic-Diseases-Summary.pdf (Accessed on March 04, 2021).
- Waissengrin B, Agbarya A, Safadi E, Padova H, Wolf I. Short-term safety of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in patients with cancer treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Lancet Oncol.* 2021;22(5):581-583. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00155-8
- Fang FC, Naccache SN, Greninger AL. The Laboratory Diagnosis of Coronavirus Disease 2019- Frequently Asked Questions. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;71(11):2996-3001. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa742
- Thakkar A, Gonzalez-Lugo JD, Goradia N, et al. Seroconversion rates following COVID-19 vaccination among patients with cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2021;39(8):1081-1090.e2. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2021.06.002
- Ollila TA, Lu S, Masel R, et al. Antibody Response to COVID-19 Vaccination in Adults With Hematologic Malignant Disease. JAMA Oncol. 2021;7(11):1714-1716. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2021.4381